SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 5.0 Dec 2014, 2/4 Pillar Audit; replaces version 4.0 May 2012

Supplier name:	Shaoneng Group Guangdong Luzhou Paper Mould Packing Products Co., Ltd.			
Site country:	China			
Site name:	Shaoneng Group Guangdong Luzhou Paper Mould Packing Products Co., Ltd.			
Parent Company name (of the site):	Shaoneng Group			
SMETA Audit Type:				
Date of Audit	Aug 23-25, 2016			

Audit Content:

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health and Safety, Environment and Business ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Guidance Version 5 December 2015 was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers, and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents: Please check appropriate SMETA Audit Type in the above box: 2-Pillar SMETA Audit
 - ETI Base Code
 - SMETA Additions
 - Management systems and code implementation,
 - Entitlement to Work and Immigration,
 - Sub-Contracting and Home working

4-Pillar SMETA Audit

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics

The new ETI Working Hours Clause

Now integrated into this latest SMETA version.

Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions and local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.





SGS		

Audit Comp	pany Name:	Report Owr	ner (payee):		
SGS -CSTC Standards Te	chnical Services Co., Ltd.	Shaoneng Group Guangdong Luzhou Paper Mould Packing Products Co., Ltd.			
Sedex Company Reference: (only available on Sedex Sys		ZC: Factory did not register on Sedex. Not provided by the factory.			
Sedex Site Reference: (only available on Sedex Sys	stem)	ZS: Factory did not register on Sedex. Not provided by the factory.			
Audit Conducted By					
Commercial	\boxtimes	Purchaser			

NGO	Retailer		
Trade Union	Brand Owner		
Multi-stakeholder	Combined Audit (select all that apply)		

Auditor Reference Number: (If applicable)	lil
---	-----



Audit Details

	Audit Details						
A: Report #:	JSASCN16538975						
B: Time in and time out (SMETA BPG recommends 9.00-17.00 hrs. if any different please state why in the SMETA declaration)	Day 1 Time in: 14:00 pm Day 1 Time out: 17:50 pm	Day 2 Time in: 09:15 am Day 2 Time out: 17:25 pm	Day 3 Time in: 08:40 am Day 3 Time out: 11:50 am				
C: Number of Auditor Days Used: (number of auditor x number of days)	2.0 (1 auditor in 3 days	3)					
D: Audit type:	Full Initial Periodic Full Follow-up Partial Follow-Up Partial Other - Define						
E: Was the audit announced?	✓ Announced☐ Semi – announced: Window detail: weeks☐ Unannounced						
F: Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	⊠ Yes □ No						
If No , why not? (Examples would be, site has not completed SAQ, site has not been asked to complete the SAQ.)	N/A						
G; Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre- Audit Info to Audit findings?	☐ Yes ☑ No If Yes , please capture	detail in appropriate aud	dit by clause				
H: Auditor name(s) and role(s):	Mark Zhu (Lead audito	or)					
I: Report written by:	Mark Zhu						
J: Report reviewed by:	Pedalo Liu						
K: Report issue date:	Sep 1, 2016						
L: Supplier name:	Shaoneng Group Guangdong Luzhou Paper Mould Packing Products Co., Ltd.						
M: Site name:	Shaoneng Group Guar Products Co., Ltd. 韶能集团广东绿洲纸模	ngdong Luzhou Paper M 包装制品有限公司	Mould Packing				
N: Site country:	China						



O: Site contact and job title:	Mr. Ye Jianping / Office supervisor				
P: Site address: (Please include full address)	Yingbaoqian, Qu China 中国广东省南雄市		kiong City, Guango	dong Province,	
Site phone:	0751-3889292				
Site fax:	0751-3703668				
Site e-mail:	biaozhunban@g	dlz.com			
Q: Applicable business and other legally required licence numbers: for example, business license no, and liability insurance	Business license No.: 91440282730452391L Valid from Jul 12, 2001 to Jul 12, 2026.				
R: Products/Activities at site, for example, garment manufacture, electricals, toys, grower	Disposable paper tableware				
S: Audit results reviewed with site management?	Yes				
T: Who signed and agreed CAPR (Name and job title)	Mr. Ye Jianping / Office supervisor				
U: Did the person who signed the CAPR have authority to implement changes?	Yes				
V: Present at closing meeting (Please state name and position, including any workers/union reps/worker reps):	Mr. Ye Jianping Mr. Zhang Gend Mr. Fu Shengten	ng / General mana / Office supervisor e / HR supervisor ng / HS supervisor / Worker represen			
W: What form of worker representation / union is there on site?	☐ Union (name) ☐ Worker Comr ☐ Other (specify ☐ None	mittee			
X: Are any workers covered by Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA)	☐ Yes ⊠ No				
Y: Previous audit date:	N/A. This is an ir	nitial audit.			
Z: Previous audit type:		SMETA 2-pillar	SMETA 4-pillar	Other	
	Full Initial				
	Periodic				
	Full Follow-Up Audit				

Unpowering rest	200
	Sibles
Sedex:	//ddn
	No.

Partial Follow- Up		
Partial Other*		
*If other, please	define: N/A	



Guidance:

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to re-record actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

Root cause (see column 4)

Note: it is not mandatory to complete this column at this time.

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

Next Steps:

- 1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site www.sedexglobal.com.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- 3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit www.sedexglobal.com web site for information on how to do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).



Corrective Action Plan

	Corrective Action Plan – non-compliances								
Non-Compliance Number The reference number of the non- compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new non-compliance identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Non-Compliance Details of Non-Compliance	Root cause (completed by the site)	Preventative and Corrective Actions Details of actions to be taken to clear non-compliance, and the system change to prevent re- occurrence (agreed between site and auditor)	Timescale (Immediate, 30, 60, 90,180,365)	Verification Method Desktop / Follow-Up [D/F]	Agreed by Management and Name of Responsible Person: Note if management agree to the non- compliance, and document name of responsible person	Verification Evidence and Comments Details on corrective action evidence	Status Open/Closed or comment
3: Working Conditions are Safe and Hygienic No. 1 ETI 3.1 Local Law		Finding: Based on onsite observation, one out of two emergency exits in industrial packing workshop was not installed exit sign.	No information provided by the factory.	The factory should install exit sign for the emergency exit of industrial packing workshop.	30 days	Desktop	Agreed by Mr. Ye Jianping / Office supervisor		
3: Working Conditions are Safe and Hygienic No. 2 ETI 3.1 Local Law		Finding: Based on onsite observation, seven workers did not wear the provided earplugs when operation in industrial packing workshop.	No information provided by the factory.	The factory should supervise the mentioned workers to wear earplugs when operation.	30 days	Desktop	Agreed by Mr. Ye Jianping / Office supervisor		
3: Working Conditions are		Finding: Based on onsite	No information	The factory should supervise kitchen	30 days	Desktop	Agreed by Mr. Ye Jianping /		



Safe and Hygienic No. 3 ETI 3.1 Local Law	observation, five kitchen staffs did not wear the provided masks when operation.	provided by the factory.	staffs to wear mask when operation.			Office supervisor	
3: Working Conditions are Safe and Hygienic No. 4 ETI 3.1 Local Law	Finding: Based on document review and confirmed with factory management, the factory did not provide qualification certificate for safety manager of pressure vessel.	No information provided by the factory.	The factory should provide qualification certificate for safety manager of pressure vessel.	90 days	Desktop	Agreed by Mr. Ye Jianping / Office supervisor	
3: Working Conditions are Safe and Hygienic No. 5 ETI 3.1 Local Law	Finding: Based on document review and confirmed with factory management, the factory did not regularly conduct noise level test for noise existing workshops, such as forming and cutting workshops. Remark: The factory conducted noise level test for the mentioned workshops on Jun 3,	No information provided by the factory.	The factory should conduct noise level test for noise existing workshops regularly.	60 days	Desktop	Agreed by Mr. Ye Jianping / Office supervisor	



	2015.						
3: Working Conditions are Safe and Hygienic No. 6 ETI 3.1 Local Law	Finding: Based on document review and confirmed with factory management, the factory did not provide pre-job, off-the-job and regular on-the-job occupational health examinations for workers who exposed to noise hazard, such as forming workers and cutting workers. Remark: The factory provided on-the-job occupational health examinations for 239 workers who exposed to noise hazard on Aug 18, 2015.	No information provided by the factory.	The factory should provide pre-job, on-the-job and off-the-job occupational health examinations for all workers who exposed to noise hazard.	90 days	Desktop	Agreed by Mr. Ye Jianping / Office supervisor	
3: Working Conditions are Safe and Hygienic No. 7 ETI 3.1 Local Law	Finding: Based on document review and confirmed with factory management, the factory did not provide Building Structure Safety Certificate or Record of four 1-storey	No information provided by the factory.	The factory should provide Building Structure Safety Certificate or Record of the factory buildings and dormitory building for review.	90 days	Desktop	Agreed by Mr. Ye Jianping / Office supervisor	

Unpowering resou	70.
Sedex.	the sup
	D/V (10)

	factory buildings and one 4-storey dormitory building for review. Remark: The factory buildings and dormitory building were completed in 2002.						
3: Working Conditions are Safe and Hygienic No. 8 ETI 3.1 Local Law	Finding: Based on document review and confirmed with factory management, the factory did not provide Fire Safety Certificates/Building Fire Safety Register Certificate of three 1-storey factory buildings and one 4-storey dormitory building for review.	No information provided by the factory.	The factory should provide Fire Safety Certificates/Building Fire Safety Register Certificate of the factory buildings and dormitory building for review.	90 days	Desktop	Agreed by Mr. Ye Jianping / Office supervisor	
10: Other issue areas 10B Environment No. 1 Additional Elements 10B2.1 Local Law	Finding: Based on document review and confirmed with factory management, the factory did not conduct EIA nor obtain EIA approval for extension factory buildings. The	No information provided by the factory.	The factory should conduct EIA and obtain EIA approval for extension factory buildings.	90 days	Desktop	Agreed by Mr. Ye Jianping / Office supervisor	

Umpowering responsibility	
Sedex: Sedex:	
Seriex Solves	

factory expanded one 2-storey factory building used as pulping and forming workshops and one storey factory buildin used as boiler room 2012.	-						
--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--

	Corrective Action Plan – Observations					
Observation Number The reference number of the observation from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new observation identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Observation Details of Observation	Root cause (completed by the site)	Any improvement actions discussed (Not uploaded on to SEDEX)		
Nil						

Good examples				
Good example Number The reference number of the non-compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	Details of good example noted	Any relevant Evidence and Comments		
Nil				

11



Confirmation

Please sign this document confirming that the above findings have been discussed with and understood by you: (site management) If actual signatures are not possible in electronic versions, please state the name of the signatory in applicable boxes, as indicating the signature.						
A: Site Representative Signature:	Mr. Ye Jianping	Title: Office supervisor				
		Date: Aug 25, 2016				
B: Auditor Signature:	Mark Zhu	Title: Lead auditor				
		Date: Aug 25, 2016				
C: Please indicate below if you, the site ma	C: Please indicate below if you, the site management, dispute any of the findings. No need to complete D-E, if no disputes.					
D: I dispute the following numbered non-col	mpliances:					
Nil						
E: Signed: (If <u>any</u> entry in box D, please complete a	Mr. Ye Jianping	Title: Office supervisor				
signature on this line)		Date: Aug 25, 2016				
F: Any other site Comments:						
Nil						
Nil						
Nil						



Guidance on Root Cause

Explanation of the Root Cause Column

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue reoccurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/procedure or lack of activity/procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

Some examples of finding a "root cause"

Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re- occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.



Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

Click here for A & AB members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3Inq5Iw 3d 3d

Click here for B members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY 2brg 3d 3d



For more information on Sedex please go to www.sedexglobal.com or email helpdesk@sedexglobal.com